arXiv:1611.09457v1 [math.CO] 29 Nov 2016

# KIRCHHOFF INDEX AND DEGREE KIRCHHOF INDEX OF COMPLETE MULTIPARTITE GRAPHS

RAVINDRA B. BAPAT[1], MASOUD KARIMI*,[2], JIA-BAO LIU[3]

[1]Indian Statistical Institute,
Delhi Centre, 7 S.J.S.S. Marg,
New Delhi 110 016, India
rbb@isid.ac.in
[2] School of mathematical sciences, Anhui university
Hefei, China
[2]Department of Mathematics, Bojnourd Branch,
Islamic Azad University, Bojnourd, Iran
karimimth@bojnourdiau.ac.ir
[3]School of Mathematics and Physics, Anhui Jianzhu University
Hefei, 230601, PR China
liujiabaoad@163.com

Abstract. The Kirchhoff index of a graph is defined as half of the sum of all effective resistance distances between any two vertices. Assuming a complete multipartite graph $G$, by methods from linear algebra we explicitly formulate effective resistance distances between any two vertices of $G$, and its Kirchhoff index. In rest of paper we explore extremal value of Kirchhoff index for multipartite graphs.

*AMS Classification*: 05C50
*Keywords*: Resistance distance, Kirchhoff index, Complete multipartite.

## 1. Introduction

We consider simple graphs, that is, graphs without loops or parallel edges. For basic notions in graph theory we refer to [11], whereas for preliminaries on graphs and matrices, see [1]. A graph $G$ is said to be a regular graph if all its vertices have the same degree. The complete graph of order $p$ is denoted by $K_p$. The disjoint union of graphs $G$ and $H$ is denoted by $G \cup H$. The complement of $G$ is denoted by $\overline{G}$, which is a simple graph such that $G \cup \overline{G}$ is a complete graph. The complete join of graphs $G$ and $H$ is denoted by $G \vee H$ which is a graph with vertex-set $V(G \vee H) := V(G) \cup V(H)$ and edge set

$$E(G \vee H) := E(G) \cup E(H) \cup \{uv \mid u \in V(G), v \in V(H)\}.$$

*Corresponding Author.

A complete $r$-partite graph is a complete join of $r$ empty graphs. We denote $K_{p_1} \vee \cdots \vee K_{p_r}$ by $K_{p_1,\ldots,p_r}$. Also for convenience, by $K_{\overline{p}_1^{a_1},\overline{p}_2^{a_2},\ldots,\overline{p}_s^{a_s}}$ we denote a complete $r$-partite graph with $a_i$ parts of size $\overline{p}_i$ with $\overline{p}_i < \overline{p}_{i+1}$, for $i = 1,\ldots,s$. Thus, $a_1 + \cdots + a_s = r$ and $a_1\overline{p}_1 + \cdots + a_s\overline{p}_s = n$ is the number of vertices. Thus $K_{p_1,\ldots,p_r}$ and $K_{\overline{p}_1^{a_1},\overline{p}_2^{a_2},\ldots,\overline{p}_s^{a_s}}$ denote the same complete $r$-partite graph.

The Laplacian matrix of the graph $G$, is denoted by $L(G)$, is defined as $D - A$, where $D$ is the diagonal matrix with degrees of vertices of $G$ on the diagonal and $A$ is the adjacency matrix of $G$. We denote by $I_n$ and $J_n$, respectively, the $n \times n$ identity matrix and the $n \times n$matrix with all ones. Let $A$ be an $n \times n$ matrix. We will use $I$ and $J$ if the order is clear from the context. If $T, S \subseteq \{1,\ldots,n\}$, then $A[S|T]$ will denote the submatrix of $A$ indexed by the rows corresponding to $S$ and the columns corresponding to $T$. The submatrix obtained by deleting the rows in $S$ and the columns in $T$ will be denoted by $A(S|T)$. When $S = \{i\}$ and $T = \{j\}$, $A(S|T)$ is denoted by $A(i|j)$. Direct sum of two matrices $A$ and $B$ is written as $A \oplus B$ which is a diagonal block matrix with $A$ and $B$ as block-diagonal entries. The sum of all diagonal entries of a matrix $A$ is denoted by trace$(A)$. If $A$ is an invertible matrix, by $A^{-1}$ we denote its inverse matrix. Also, by $A^+$ we denote the Moore-Penrose inverse of $A$.

We recall the notion of equitable partition. Suppose $A$ is a symmetric matrix whose rows and columns are indexed by $\{1,\ldots,n\}$. Let $X = \{X_1,\ldots,X_s\}$ be a partition of $\{1,\ldots,n\}$. By definition, $X$ is an equitable partition if $A[X_i|X_j]\mathbf{1} = b_{ij}\mathbf{1}$ for $i,j = 1,\ldots,s$. Let $H$ denote the $n \times s$ matrix whose $j$-th column is the characteristic vector of $X_j$, for $j = 1,\ldots,s$. The $s \times s$ matrix $B = ((b_{ij}))$ is called the quotient matrix of $A$ with respect to the partition $X$. Then we have $AH = HB$, and so eigenvalues of $A$ consist of the eigenvalues of $B$, together with the eigenvalues belonging to the eigenvectors orthogonal to the columns of $H$ (i.e., summing to zero on each part of the partition); see [2, page 24].

## 2. Resistance distance

S.V. Gervacio in [5], by using methods and principals in electrical circuits such as the principle of elimination and the principle of substitution, explicitly expressed the effective resistance distance between any pair of vertices in the complete multipartite graph. In this section, we employ methods from linear algebra to obtain the expression. In the process we prove a result (Theorem 2.2) which is of independent interest.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Suppose that $\tau_n' = [a_1,\ldots,a_n]$ and $\sigma_n' = [b_1,\ldots,b_n]$ are vectors. If $c_i \neq 0$ for $i = 1,\ldots,n$, we have*

$$\det(\tau_n\sigma_n' + \mathrm{diag}(c_1,\ldots,c_n)) = c_1c_2\ldots c_n\left(1 + \frac{a_1b_1}{c_1} + \cdots + \frac{a_nb_n}{c_n}\right).$$

*Proof.* Put $B := \mathrm{diag}(c_1, \ldots, c_n)$. Then it is not hard to see that

$$\det(\tau_n \sigma_n' + B) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & a_1 & \ldots & a_n \\ -b_1 & & & \\ \vdots & & B & \\ -b_n & & & \end{vmatrix} = \det(B)\left(1 + \frac{a_1 b_1}{c_1} + \cdots + \frac{a_n b_n}{c_n}\right), \tag{1}$$

and the proof is complete. ∎

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let $= K_{p_1,p_2,\ldots,p_r}$ be a complete $r$-partite graph with $n$ vertices and with $V_1, \ldots, V_r$ as its parts. Let $A \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Then the eigenvalues of $L(A|A)$ are the roots of the polynomial*

$$\left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{r} \frac{t_k - p_k}{d_k + p_k - t_k - x}\right) \prod_{k=1}^{r} (d_k + p_k - t_k - x)(d_k - x)^{p_k - t_k - 1}, \tag{2}$$

*where $t_i := |A \cap V_i|$, and $d_i$ is the degree of a vertex in $V_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$.*

*Proof.* Let $L$ denote the Laplacian of $K_{p_1,p_2,\ldots,p_r}$. We can verify that

$$L = (d_1 I_{p_1} + J_{p_1}) \oplus \cdots \oplus (d_r I_{p_r} + J_{p_r}) - J_n.$$

Thus,

$$L(A|A) = (d_1 I_{p_1} + J_{p_1})(T_1|T_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (d_r I_{p_r} + J_{p_r})(T_r|T_r) - J_n(A|A)$$

where $T_i := A \cap V_i$. We can see that $\pi = \{V_1 - T_1, \ldots, V_r - T_r\}$ is an equitable partition with respect to $L(A|A)$ with the quotient matrix

$$B := \begin{bmatrix} d_1 & t_2 - p_2 & t_3 - p_3 & \ldots & t_r - p_r \\ t_1 - p_1 & d_2 & t_3 - p_3 & \ldots & t_r - p_r \\ t_1 - p_1 & t_2 - p_2 & d_3 & \ldots & t_r - p_r \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ t_1 - p_1 & t_2 - p_2 & t_3 - p_3 & \ldots & d_r \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now, eigenvalues of $L(A|A)$ consist of $[d_i]^{p_i - t_i - 1}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$ and the roots of $\det(B - xI) = 0$. Since

$$B - xI = \mathrm{diag}(d_1 + p_1 - t_1 - x, \ldots, d_r + p_r - t_r - x) + \mathbf{1}[t_1 - p_1, \ldots, t_r - p_r],$$

in view of Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\det(B - xI) = \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{r} \frac{t_k - p_k}{d_k + p_k - t_k - x}\right) \prod_{k=1}^{r} (d_k + p_k - t_k - x).$$

So the characteristic polynomial of $L(A|A)$ is

$$\left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{r} \frac{t_k - p_k}{d_k + p_k - t_k - x}\right) \prod_{k=1}^{r} (d_k + p_k - t_k - x)(d_k - x)^{p_k - t_k - 1}.$$

That completes the proof. ∎

**Remark 2.3.** *Let $n := p_1 + \cdots + p_r$ be the number of vertices of $= K_{p_1,p_2,\ldots,p_r}$. Then $d_i = n - p_i$, so we can rewrite* $\det(L(A|A) - xI_n)$ *as*

$$\left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^{r} \frac{p_k - t_k}{n - t_k}\right) \prod_{k=1}^{r} (n - t_k)(n - p_k)^{p_k - t_k - 1}.$$

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let $K_{p_1,p_2,\ldots,p_r}$ be a complete $r$-partite graph with $n$ vertices. For distinct vertices $u$ and $v$ we have*

$$\det(L(u,v|u,v)) = \begin{cases} n^{r-3} \dfrac{(n-1)(2n - p_l - p_{l'})}{(n-p_l)(n-p_{l'})} \prod_{i=1}^{r} (n-p_i)^{p_i - 1} & \text{if } u \in V_l, v \in V_{l'}, l \neq l' \\ n^{r-2} \dfrac{2}{n - p_l} \prod_{i=1}^{r} (n-p_i)^{p_i - 1} & \text{if } u, v \in V_l. \end{cases}$$

*Moreover,* $\det(L(u|u)) = n^{r-2} \prod_{i=1}^{r} (n-p_i)^{p_i-1}$ *is the number of spanning trees.*

*Proof.* Let $u$ and $v$ be vertices of $K_{p_1,p_2,\ldots,p_r}$ and let $A := \{u, v\}$. If $i$ and $j$ are chosen from different parts, say $V_l$ and $V_{l'}$ with $l \neq l'$, then by Theorem 2.2 we have $t_l = t_{l'} = 1$ and $t_k = 0$ for $k \neq l, l'$. Evaluating (2) at $x = 0$ gives

$$\det(L(u,v|u,v)) = n^{r-3} \frac{(n-1)(2n - p_l - p_{l'})}{(n-p_l)(n-p_{l'})} \prod_{i=1}^{r} (n-p_i)^{p_i-1}.$$

Now let $u$ and $v$ belong to the same part, say $V_l$. Then, $t_l = 2$ and $t_k = 0$ for $k \neq l$. Again Evaluating (2) at $x = 0$ gives

$$\det(L(u,v|u,v)) = n^{r-2} \frac{2}{n - p_l} \prod_{i=1}^{r} (n-p_i)^{p_i-1}.$$

The expression for $\det(L(u|u))$ is obtained by setting $A := \{u\}$ in (2) and putting $x = 0$, and $t_i = 1$ when $u \in V_i$, otherwise $t_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$. ∎

**Definition 2.5.** *Let $G$ be a connected graph. The resistance distance between vertices $u$ and $v$ is defined as* $\Omega(u,v) =: \dfrac{\det(L(u,v|u,v))}{\det(L(u|u))}$.

**Corollary 2.6** ([5], Theorem 5.1)**.** *Let $u \in V_i$ and $v \in V_j$. Then the resistance distance between $u$ and $v$ is given by*

$$\Omega(u,v) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{(n-1)(2n - p_i - p_j)}{n(n-p_i)(n-p_j)} & \text{if } u \in V_i, v \in V_j, i \neq j \\ \dfrac{2}{n - p_i} & \text{if } u, v \in V_i, u \neq v. \\ 0 & \text{if } u = v. \end{cases}$$

**Example 2.7.** *The resistance distance matrix of $K_{2,3,4}$ is as follows*

$$\begin{bmatrix}
0 & \frac{2}{7} & \frac{52}{189} & \frac{52}{189} & \frac{52}{189} & \frac{32}{105} & \frac{32}{105} & \frac{32}{105} & \frac{32}{105} \\
\frac{2}{7} & 0 & \frac{52}{189} & \frac{52}{189} & \frac{52}{189} & \frac{32}{105} & \frac{32}{105} & \frac{32}{105} & \frac{32}{105} \\
\frac{52}{189} & \frac{52}{189} & 0 & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{44}{135} & \frac{44}{135} & \frac{44}{135} & \frac{44}{135} \\
\frac{52}{189} & \frac{52}{189} & \frac{1}{3} & 0 & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{44}{135} & \frac{44}{135} & \frac{44}{135} & \frac{44}{135} \\
\frac{52}{189} & \frac{52}{189} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & 0 & \frac{44}{135} & \frac{44}{135} & \frac{44}{135} & \frac{44}{135} \\
\frac{32}{105} & \frac{32}{105} & \frac{44}{135} & \frac{44}{135} & \frac{44}{135} & 0 & \frac{2}{5} & \frac{2}{5} & \frac{2}{5} \\
\frac{32}{105} & \frac{32}{105} & \frac{44}{135} & \frac{44}{135} & \frac{44}{135} & \frac{2}{5} & 0 & \frac{2}{5} & \frac{2}{5} \\
\frac{32}{105} & \frac{32}{105} & \frac{44}{135} & \frac{44}{135} & \frac{44}{135} & \frac{2}{5} & \frac{2}{5} & 0 & \frac{2}{5} \\
\frac{32}{105} & \frac{32}{105} & \frac{44}{135} & \frac{44}{135} & \frac{44}{135} & \frac{2}{5} & \frac{2}{5} & \frac{2}{5} & 0
\end{bmatrix}$$

## 3. Kirchhoff index

In the following, we compute the Kirchhoff index of a complete multipartite graph.

**Definition 3.1.** *The Kirchhoff index of a connected graph $G$, denoted by* $\mathrm{Kf}(G)$*, is defined as half of the summation of all resistance distances between any two vertices, i.e,*

$$\mathrm{Kf}(G) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{u,v \in V(G)} \Omega(u,v).$$

In this regard, there are two applicable relations:

$$\mathrm{Kf}(G) = n\mathrm{trace}(L^+(G)) \text{ and } \mathrm{Kf}(G) = n \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\lambda_i}, \tag{3}$$

where $L^+(G)$ is the Moore-Penrose inverse of the Laplacian of $G$ and $\lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{n-1} > \lambda_n = 0$ are the Laplacian eigenvalues of $G$.

**Remark 3.2.** *Let $G$ be a connected graph. Then the following facts hold*

1. $L(G) + L(\overline{G}) = nI - J$.
2. $(L(G) + J)^{-1} = L^+(G) + \frac{1}{n^2}J$.
3. $(-L(G) + nI)^{-1} = L^+(\overline{G}) + \frac{1}{n^2}J$.
4. *If $\alpha, \beta$ are scalers in such a way that $\alpha I + \beta J$ is nonsingular, then* $(\alpha I + \beta J)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\alpha}I - \frac{\beta}{\alpha(\alpha+n\beta)}J$.

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let $G_i$ be a connected graph of order $p_i$, for $i = 1, \ldots, r$. Then*

$$L^+(\vee_{i=1}^r G_i) = -\frac{1}{n^2}J + \oplus_{i=1}^r (nI_{p_i} - L(\overline{G_i}))^{-1}.$$

*In particular, If $\lambda_1^i \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{p_i-1}^i > \lambda_{p_i}^i = 0$ are the Laplacian eigenvalues of $G_i$, then*

$$0, [n]^{r-1}, n - p_i + \lambda_i^j$$

*for $i = 1, \dots, p_j - 1$ and $j = 1, \dots, r$ are the Laplacian eigenvalues of $\vee_{i=1}^{r} G_i$, where $n = p_1 + \cdots + p_r$.*

*Proof.* We see that

$$L(\vee_{i=1}^{r} G_i) + J = \oplus_{i=1}^{r}(J_{p_i} + (n - p_i)I_{p_i} + L(G_i)) = \oplus_{i=1}^{r}(nI - L(\overline{G_i})).$$

In view of Remark 3.2,

$$(L(\vee_{i=1}^{r} G_i) + J)^{-1} = L^{+}(\vee_{i=1}^{r} G_i) + \frac{1}{n^2}J = \oplus_{i=1}^{r}(nI_{p_i} - L(\overline{G_i}))^{-1}.$$

Therefore, $L^{+}(\vee_{i=1}^{r} G_i) = -\frac{1}{n^2}J + \oplus_{i=1}^{r}(nI_{p_i} - L(\overline{G_i}))^{-1}$.

In particular, in view of Remark 3.2(1) and [1, Lemma 4.5], the Laplacian eigenvalues of $\overline{G_i}$ are $\lambda = 0$ along with $p_i - \lambda_j^i$, for $j = 1, \dots, p_i$. Thus, the eigenvalues of $L(\vee_{i=1}^{r} G_i) + J$ comprise of the eigenvalues of $nI - L(\overline{G_i})$, for $i = 1, \dots, r$, that is, $\{n\}^r$, $n - p_i + \lambda_j^i$, for $j = 1, \dots, p_i$ and $i = 1 \dots, r$. Again, in view of [1, Lemma 4.5], the eigenvalues of $L(\vee_{i=1}^{r} G_i)$ are $0, [n]^{r-1}, n - p_i + \lambda_i^j$. for $i = 1, \dots, p_j - 1$ and $j - 1, \dots, r$ are the Laplacian eigenvalues of $\vee_{i=1}^{r} G_i$, where $n = p_1 + \cdots + p_r$. ∎

**Corollary 3.4.** *Under the assumptions of Proposition 3.3, we have*

$$\mathrm{Kf}(\vee_{i=1}^{r} G_i)) = r - 1 + n\sum_{i=1}^{r}\sum_{j=1}^{p_i - 1}\frac{1}{n - p_i + \lambda_j^i}.$$

*Proof.* It is enough to employ (3) together with the result of Proposition 3.3. We see

$$\mathrm{Kf}(\vee_{i=1}^{r} G_i)) = n\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\frac{1}{\lambda_i} = n(\frac{r-1}{n} + \sum_{i=1}^{r}\sum_{j=1}^{p_i - 1}\frac{1}{n - p_i + \lambda_j^i}).$$

and the proof is complete. ∎

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let $G := K_{p_1, \dots, p_r}$ be a complete multipartite graph. Then*

$$\mathrm{Kf}(\vee_{i=1}^{r} G_i)) = r - 1 + n\sum_{i=1}^{r}\frac{p_i - 1}{n - p_i}.$$

*Proof.* In view of Corollary 5.5, we need only to consider $G_i$ as empty graph of order $p_i$. Apparently, they are 0-regular graphs. So, in this case we have $\lambda_i^j = 0$. substitute in Corollary 5.5 to get

$$\mathrm{Kf}(\vee_{i=1}^{r} G_i)) = r - 1 + n\sum_{i=1}^{r}\sum_{j=1}^{p_i - 1}\frac{1}{n - p_i} = r - 1 + n\sum_{i=1}^{r}\frac{p_i - 1}{n - p_i},$$

completing the proof. ∎

An alternative way to derive the Kirchhoff index is as follows. Since $\mathrm{Kf}(G) = n\mathrm{trace}(L^+(G))$, thus in view of Proposition 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Kf}(K_{p_1,\ldots,p_r}) &= n\mathrm{trace}(-\frac{1}{n^2}J + \oplus_{i=1}^{r}(nI_{p_i} - L(K_{p_i}))^{-1}) \\
&= n\mathrm{trace}(-\frac{1}{n^2}J + \oplus_{i=1}^{r}(nI_{p_i} - L(K_{p_i}))^{-1}) \\
&= n\mathrm{trace}(-\frac{1}{n^2}J + \oplus_{i=1}^{r}((n-p_i)I_{p_i} + J_{p_i})^{-1}) \\
&= n\mathrm{trace}(-\frac{1}{n^2}J + \oplus_{i=1}^{r}(\frac{1}{n-p_i}I - \frac{1}{n(n-p_i)}J)) \\
&= n(\frac{-1}{n} + \sum_{i=1}^{r}(\frac{p_i}{n-p_i} - \frac{p_i}{n(n-p_i)})) \\
&= -1 + n\sum_{i=1}^{r}\frac{p_i}{n-p_i}(1-\frac{1}{n}) \\
&= -1 + (n-1)\sum_{i=1}^{r}\frac{p_i}{n-p_i} \\
&= -1 - r(n-1) + n(n-1)\sum_{i=1}^{r}\frac{1}{n-p_i}. \qquad (4)
\end{aligned}$$

**Example 3.6.** $\mathrm{Kf}(K_{2,2,2,3,3,5,7}) = -1 + 23(\frac{6}{22} + \frac{6}{21} + \frac{5}{19} + \frac{7}{17}) \approx 27.367$.

## 4. Extremal Kirchhoff index

In this section, motivated by [7], we explore within all complete $r$-partite graphs with $n$ vertices, which have maximal or minimal Kirchhoff index. By routine and straightforward calculations we obtain the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let $x \geq y > \alpha > 0$. Then*

(1) $\dfrac{1}{x+\alpha} + \dfrac{1}{y-\alpha} \geq \dfrac{1}{x} + \dfrac{1}{y}$

(2) $\dfrac{1}{x-\alpha} + \dfrac{1}{y+\alpha} \leq \dfrac{1}{x} + \dfrac{1}{y}$ *if* $x - y \geq \alpha$.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let $p_1 \geq \cdots \geq p_r$ and $n = p_1 + \cdots + p_r$. $\mathrm{Kf}(K_{p_1,\ldots,p_r})$ has maximal Kirchhoff index if $K_{p_1,\ldots,p_r} = K_{(n-r+1),1^{r-1}}$. Also, $\mathrm{Kf}(K_{p_1,\ldots,p_r})$ has minimal Kirchhoff index if $K_{p_1,\ldots,p_r} = K_{p^k,(p-1)^{r-k}}$ where $p = \lfloor\frac{n}{r}\rfloor + 1$ and $k = n - r\lfloor\frac{n}{r}\rfloor$.*

*Proof.* In view of (4), parameters $p_1, \ldots, p_r$ with extremal Kirchhoff index can be derived from those which give extremal values of $f(p_1, \ldots, p_r) := \sum_{i=1}^{r}\frac{1}{n-p_i}$ where $p_1 + \cdots + p_r = n$.

Employing Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(p_1,\dots,p_r) &\leq f(p_1+p_r-1,p_2\dots,p_{r-1},1)\\ &\leq f(p_1+p_r+p_{r-1}-2,p_2,\dots,1,1)\\ &\vdots\\ &\leq f(p_1+p_r+\cdots+p_2-r+1,1,\dots,1)\\ &= f(n-r+1,1,\dots,1)=\frac{1}{r-1}+\frac{r-1}{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

So the maximal Kirchhoff index is attained when $p_1=n-r+1$ and $p_i=1$ for $i=2,\dots,r$. In this case we have

$$\max_{p_1+\cdots+p_r=n}(\mathrm{Kf}(K_{p_1,\dots,p_r}))=-1-r(n-1)+n(n-1)(\frac{1}{r-1}+\frac{r-1}{n-1}).$$

As to the minimal Kirchhoff index, let $f(p_1,\dots,p_r):=\sum_{i=1}^{r}\frac{1}{n-p_i}$ be minimal. We claim that $|p_i-p_j|\leq 1$ for $1\leq i,j\leq r$. In contrast, let there exist $p_i$ and $p_j$ such that $p_i-p_j\geq 2$. Then,

$$f(p_1,\dots,p_i-1,\dots,p_j+1,\dots,p_r)-f(p_1,\dots,p_r)=\frac{1}{n-p_i+1}+\frac{1}{n-p_j-1}-\frac{1}{p_i}-\frac{1}{p_j}.$$

This together with Lemma 4.1 implies that $f(p_1,\dots,p_i-1,\dots,p_j+1,\dots,p_r)\leq f(p_1,\dots,p_r)$. This violates the minimality of $f(p_1,\dots,p_r):=\sum_{i=1}^{r}\frac{1}{n-p_i}$. So the maximal Kirchhoff index is attained when $|p_i-p_j|\leq 1$, for $1\leq i,j\leq r$. In this regard let $f(p_1,\dots,p_r):=\sum_{i=1}^{r}\frac{1}{n-p_i}$ be minimal. In view of the discussion above, for some integer $k$ we assume that $p:=p_1=\cdots=p_k$ and $p_{k+1}=\cdots=p_r=p-1$. Since $p_1+\cdots+p_r=n$, we see that $kp+(r-k)(p-1)$. So, $p=\lfloor\frac{n}{r}\rfloor+1$ and $k=n-r\lfloor\frac{n}{r}\rfloor$. In this case we have

$$\min_{p_1+\cdots+p_r=n}(\mathrm{Kf}(K_{p_1,\dots,p_r}))=-1-r(n-1)+n(n-1)(\frac{k}{n-p}+\frac{r-k}{n-p+1}),$$

and the proof is complete. ∎

**Example 4.3.** *Let $n=24$ and $r=7$. Then we see that $k=3$, $p=4$, so*

$$25.943\approx \mathrm{Kf}(K_{4,4,4,3,3,3,3})\leq \mathrm{Kf}(Kp_1,\dots,p_7)\leq 74=\mathrm{Kf}(K_{18,1,1,1,1,1,1}).$$

## 5. Degree Kirchhoff index

Provided that the graph $G$ has no isolated vertices, the normalized Laplacian matrix is defined as $\mathcal{L}(G):=D^{\frac{-1}{2}}L(G)D^{\frac{-1}{2}}$, where $L(G)$ is the standard Laplacian matrix of $G$. Motivated by the notion of Kirchhoff index, Chen and Zhang [4] defined another graph invariant, denoted by $\mathrm{Kf}'(G)$, which is called the *degree Kirchhoff index*.

**Definition 5.1.** *The degree Kirchhoff index of the graph $G$ is defined as*

$$\mathrm{Kf}'(G):=\sum_{i<j}d_id_j\Omega(i,j),$$

*where $\Omega(i,j)$ is the resistance distance between vertices $i$ and $j$.*

We refer to [3, 6, 8, 9, 10] for some results about this topological index.

**Lemma 5.2.** *For the graph $G$ with $m$ edges,* $\mathrm{Kf}'(G) = 2m\mathrm{trace}(\mathcal{L}^+(G))$.

*Proof.* It is known that if $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_t$ are the nonzero eigenvalues of a given matrix $A$, then $\mathrm{trace}(A^+) = \frac{1}{\lambda_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{\lambda_t}$. By [4, Theorem 2.5], we see that if $\mu_1 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_{n-1} > \mu_n = 0$ are the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{L}(G)$, then $\mathrm{Kf}'(G) = 2m\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\mu_i}$. Thus, Definition 5.1 gives $\mathrm{Kf}'(G) = 2m\mathrm{trace}(\mathcal{L}^+(G))$. ∎

We proceed to obtain the degree Kirchhoff index of complete multipartite graphs.

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let $K_{p_1,\ldots,p_r}$ be a complete $r$-partite graph with $n$ vertices and $m$ edges. Then,*

$$\mathrm{Kf}'(K_{p_1,\ldots,p_r}) = -(\frac{2m}{n})^2 + 2m(n-1).$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 5.2 , we need only to find $\mathrm{trace}(\mathcal{L}^+(K_{p_1,\ldots,p_r}))$. Since $\mathcal{L}(K_{p_1,\ldots,p_r}) = D^{\frac{-1}{2}}L(K_{p_1,\ldots,p_r})D^{\frac{-1}{2}}$ we get by Proposition 3.3

$$\mathcal{L}^+(K_{p_1,\ldots,p_r}) = D^{\frac{1}{2}}L^+(K_{p_1,\ldots,p_r})D^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Let $P_i$ denote part of size $p_i$ in $K_{p_1,\ldots,p_r}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{trace}(\mathcal{L}^+(K_{p_1,\ldots,p_r})) &= \sum_{i=1}^{r}\sum_{v\in P_i} d_i L^+(K_{p_1,\ldots,p_r})_{vv} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{r} p_i d_i(-\frac{1}{n^2} + \mathrm{trace}((nI - L(K_{p_i}))^{-1}))) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{r} p_i d_i(-\frac{1}{n^2} + \mathrm{trace}(\frac{1}{n-p_i}I - \frac{1}{n(n-p_i)}J))) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{r} p_i d_i(-\frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{1}{n-p_i} - \frac{1}{n(n-p_i)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $d_i = n - p_i$ after simplifying we get $\mathrm{trace}(\mathcal{L}^+(K_{p_1,\ldots,p_r})) = -\frac{2m}{n^2} + n - 1$ where $m$ is the number of edges. So $\mathrm{Kf}'(K_{p_1,\ldots,p_r}) = -(\frac{2m}{n})^2 + 2m(n-1)$ as desired. ∎

**Example 5.4.** *Cocktail party graph is the $r$-partite graph $K_{2,\ldots,2}$. So $n = 2r$ and $m = 2r(r-1)$. Thus we have* $\mathrm{Kf}'(K_{2,\ldots,2}) = 4(2r^3 - 4r^2 + 3r - 1)$.

**Corollary 5.5.** $\mathrm{Kf}'(K_{p_1,\ldots,p_r})$ *is an increasing function on number of edges. Also we have*

$$\mathrm{Kf}'(K_{n-r+1,1^{r-1}}) \leq \mathrm{Kf}'(K_{p_1,\ldots,p_r}) \leq \mathrm{Kf}'(K_{p^k,(p-1)^{r-k}})$$

*where $p = \lfloor\frac{n}{r}\rfloor + 1$ and $k = n - r\lfloor\frac{n}{r}\rfloor$.*

*Proof.* In view of Theorem 5.3 we have $\mathrm{Kf}'(K_{p_1,\ldots,p_r}) = \dfrac{-1}{n^2}(2m)^2 + 2m(n-1)$. Since the function $f(x) = \dfrac{-1}{n^2}x^2 + x(n-1)$ is increasing in the interval $(0, \frac{1}{2}n^2(n-1)]$, and that $2m \leq \frac{1}{2}n^2(n-1)$, we deduce that $\mathrm{Kf}'(K_{p_1,\ldots,p_r})$ is an increasing function on number of edges. Furthermore, since $2m = n^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{r} p_i^2$, we can verify that among all complete $r$-partite graphs with $n$ vertices the graph $K_{n-r+1,1^{r-1}}$ has the least number of edges and the graph $K_{p^k,(p-1)^{r-k}}$ attains the maximum number of edges where $p = \lfloor \dfrac{n}{r} \rfloor + 1$ and $k = n - r\lfloor \dfrac{n}{r} \rfloor$. ∎

As observed in Corollary 5.5, degree Kirchhoff index of complete multipartite graphs can be interpreted as a monotone function of the number of edges. But in the ordinary Kirchhof index we do not observe such a monotonicity, see Table 1 and Table 2:

| $n = 9, r = 3$ | $K_{1,1,7}$ | $K_{1,2,6}$ | $K_{1,3,5}$ | $K_{1,4,4}$ | $K_{2,2,5}$ | $K_{2,3,4}$ | $K_{3,3,3}$ |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| $m = \lvert E \rvert$ | 15 | 20 | 23 | 24 | 24 | 26 | 27 |
| $\mathrm{Kf}'$ | 228.89 ↗ | 300.25 ↗ | 341.88 ↗ | 355.56 ↗ | 355.56 ↗ | 382.62 ↗ | 396 |
| Kf | 29 ↘ | 18.286 ↘ | 14 ↘ | 12.800 ↗ | 13.571 ↘ | 11.686 ↘ | 11 |

TABLE 1. All complete 3-partite graphs with 9 vertices

| $K_{18,7}$ | $K_{17,2,6}$ | $K_{17,3,5}$ | $K_{17,4,4}$ | $K_{16,2^2,5}$ | $K_{16,2,3,4}$ | $K_{16,3^3}$ | $K_{15,2^3,4}$ | $K_{15,2^2,3^3}$ | $K_{14,2^4,3}$ | $K_{13,2^6}$ |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 84 | 89 | 92 | 93 | 93 | 95 | 96 | 96 | 97 | 98 | 99 |
| 2226.6 ↗ | 2351.2 ↗ | 2425.5 ↗ | 2450.2 ↗ | 2450.2 ↗ | 2499.6 ↗ | 2524.2 ↗ | 2524.2 ↗ | 2548.7 ↗ | 2573.3 ↗ | 2597.8 |
| 19.250 ↘ | 17.487 ↘ | 16.5 ↘ | 16.182 ↗ | 16.308 ↘ | 15.745 ↘ | 15.500 ↗ | 15.552 ↘ | 15.308 ↘ | 15.115 ↘ | 14.923 |

TABLE 2. All complete 9-partite graphs with 15 vertices

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The third author was partially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China grant No. 11601006.

## REFERENCES

[1] R.B. Bapat, *Graphs and Matrices*, Second Edition, Springer, London; Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi, 2014.

[2] A.E. Brouwer, W. Haemers, *Spectra of graphs*, Springer 2012.

[3] S. Bozkurt and D. Bozkurt, On the sum of powers of normalized Laplacian eigenvalues of graphs, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* , **68** (2013), 917–930.

[4] H. Chen and F. Zhang, Resistance distance and the normalized Laplacian spectrum, *Discrete Appl. Math.* , **155** (2007), 654–661.

[5] S.V. Gervacio, Resistance distance in complete $n$-partite graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.* , **203** (2016), 53–61.

[6] M. Hakimi-Nezhaad, A.R. Ashrafi and I. Gutman, Note on degree Kirchhoff index of graphs, *Transactions on Combinatorics*, (2)**3** (2013), 43–52.

[7] J.B. Liu , X.F. Pan , L. Yu , D. Li , Complete characterization of bicyclic graphs with minimal Kirchhoff index, *Discret. Appl. Math.* **200** (2016) 95–107 .

[8] J.B. Liu , W.R. Wang , Y.M. Zhang , X.F. Pan , On degree resistance distance of cacti, *Discret. Appl. Math.* **203** (2016) 217–225 .

[9] J. L. Palacios, Upper and lower bounds for the additive degree Kirchhoff index, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.*, **70** (2013), 651–655.

[10] J. Palacios and J. M. Renom, Another look at the degree Kirchhoff index, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.*, **111** (2011), 3453–3455

[11] D.B. West, *Introduction to Graph Theory*, Prentice Hall, Inc., Upper Saddle River, NJ, 1996.